THE NUMBER OF IRREDUCIBLE POLYNOMIALS AND LYNDON WORDS WITH GIVEN TRACE*

F. RUSKEY[†], C. R. MIERS[‡], AND J. SAWADA[†]

Abstract. The trace of a degree n polynomial f(x) over GF(q) is the coefficient of x^{n-1} . Carlitz [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3 (1952), pp. 693–700] obtained an expression $I_q(n,t)$ for the number of monic irreducible polynomials over GF(q) of degree n and trace t. Using a different approach, we derive a simple explicit expression for $I_q(n,t)$. If t>0, $I_q(n,t)=(\sum \mu(d)q^{n/d})/(qn)$, where the sum is over all divisors d of n which are relatively prime to q. This same approach is used to count $L_q(n,t)$, the number of q-ary Lyndon words whose characters sum to t mod q. This number is given by $L_q(n,t)=(\sum \gcd(d,q)\mu(d)q^{n/d})/(qn)$, where the sum is over all divisors d of n for which $\gcd(d,q)|t$. Both results rely on a new form of Möbius inversion.

Key words. irreducible polynomial, trace, finite field, Lyndon word, Möbius inversion

AMS subject classifications. 05T06, 11T06

PII. S0895480100368050

1. Introduction. The *trace* of a degree n polynomial f(x) over GF(q) is the coefficient of x^{n-1} . It is well known that the number of degree n irreducible polynomials over GF(q) is given by

(1.1)
$$I_q(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) q^{n/d},$$

where $\mu(d)$ is the Möbius function. Less well known is the formula

(1.2)
$$I_2(n,1) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \text{ odd}}} \mu(d) 2^{n/d},$$

which is the number of degree n irreducible polynomials over GF(2) with trace 1 (this can be inferred from results in Jungnickel [3, section 2.7]). One purpose of this paper is to refine (1.1) and (1.2) by enumerating the irreducible degree n polynomials over GF(q) with a given trace. Carlitz [1] also solved this problem, arriving via a different technique at an expression that is different but equivalent to the one given below. Our version of the result is stated in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. Let q be a power of prime p. The number of irreducible polynomials of degree n > 0 over GF(q) with a given nonzero trace t is

(1.3)
$$I_q(n,t) = \frac{1}{qn} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ n \nmid d}} \mu(d) q^{n/d}.$$

^{*}Received by the editors January 10, 2000; accepted for publication (in revised form) January 2, 2001; published electronically April 3, 2001.

http://www.siam.org/journals/sidma/14-2/36805.html

[†]Department of Computer Science, University of Victoria, EOW 348, 3800 Finnerty Road, P.O. Box 3055–MS 7209, Victoria, BC V8W 3P6, Canada (fruskey@csr.uvic.ca, jsawada@csr.uvic.ca). The research of these authors was supported in part by NSERC.

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Clearihue Building, Room D268, 3800 Finnerty Road, Victoria, BC V8P 5C2, Canada (crmiers@math.uvic.ca).

Note that the expression on the right-hand side of (1.3) is independent of t and that $I_q(n,0)$ can be obtained by subtracting

$$I_q(n,0) = I_q(n) - (q-1)I_q(n,1).$$

A Lyndon word is the lexicographically smallest rotation of an aperiodic string. If $L_q(n)$ denotes the number of q-ary Lyndon words of length n, then it is well known that $L_q(n) = I_q(n)$. The trace of a Lyndon word is the sum of its characters mod q. Let $L_q(n,t)$ denote the number of Lyndon words of trace t. The second purpose of this paper is to obtain an explicit formula for $L_q(n,t)$. This result is stated in Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2. For all integers n > 0, q > 1, and $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$,

$$L_q(n,t) = \frac{1}{qn} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \gcd(d,q) \mid t}} \gcd(d,q)\mu(d)q^{n/d}.$$

Note that $I_q(n,t) = L_q(n,s)$ whenever $t \neq 0$ and gcd(n,s) = 1. In order to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we need a new form of Möbius inversion. This is presented in the next section.

2. A generalized Möbius inversion formula. The defining property of the Möbius functions is

(2.1)
$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = [n = 1],$$

where $[\![P]\!]$ for proposition P represents the "Iversonian convention": $[\![P]\!]$ has value 1 if P is true and value 0 if P is false (see [4, p. 24]).

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \mathcal{R} be a set, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, and let $\{X(d, t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{R}, d \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of subsets of \mathcal{R} . We say that $\{X(d, t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{R}, d \in \mathbb{N}}$ is recombinant if

- (i) $X(1,t) = \{t\}$ for all $t \in \mathcal{R}$ and
- (ii) $\{e' \in X(d', e) : e \in X(d, t)\} = \{e \in X(dd', t)\} \text{ for all } d, d' \in \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathcal{R}.$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\{X(d,t)\}_{t\in\mathcal{R},d\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a recombinant family of subsets of \mathcal{R} . Let $A: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $B: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{C}$ be functions, where \mathcal{C} is a commutative ring with identity. Then

$$A(n,t) = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{e \in X(d,t)} B\left(\frac{n}{d}, e\right)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if

$$B(n,t) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{e \in X(d,t)} A\left(\frac{n}{d}, e\right)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Consider the sum, call it S, on the right-hand side of the first equation

$$S = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{e \in X(d,t)} B\left(\frac{n}{d}, e\right)$$

$$= \sum_{d|n} \sum_{e \in X(d,t)} \sum_{d'|(n/d)} \sum_{e' \in X(d',e)} \mu(d') A\left(\frac{n}{dd'}, e'\right)$$

$$= \sum_{d|n} \sum_{dd'|n} \mu(d') \sum_{e \in X(d,t)} \sum_{e' \in X(d',e)} A\left(\frac{n}{dd'}, e'\right).$$

Now substitute f = dd' and use recombination to get

$$\begin{split} S &= \sum_{d|n} \sum_{f|n} \mathbb{I} f = dd' \mathbb{I} \mu \left(\frac{f}{d} \right) \sum_{e \in X(d,t)} \sum_{e' \in X(d',e)} A \left(\frac{n}{f}, e' \right) \\ &= \sum_{f|n} \sum_{d|f} \mu \left(\frac{f}{d} \right) \sum_{e \in X(f,t)} A \left(\frac{n}{f}, e \right) \\ &= \sum_{f|n} \sum_{e \in X(f,t)} A \left(\frac{n}{f}, e \right) \sum_{d|f} \mu \left(\frac{f}{d} \right) \\ &= \sum_{f|n} \sum_{e \in X(f,t)} A \left(\frac{n}{f}, e \right) \mathbb{I} f = 1 \mathbb{I} \\ &= A(n,t). \end{split}$$

Verification in the other direction is similar and is omitted. \Box

LEMMA 2.3. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and e, t be members of an additive monoid \mathcal{R} . The sets $\{e : de = t\}$ form a recombinant family.

Proof. Here de means $e+e+\cdots+e$ (d terms). Suppose that de=t and d'e'=e. Clearly, dd'e'=t. Conversely, if dd'e'=t, then d'e' is equal to some element of \mathcal{R} , call it e. Then d'e'=e and de=t.

COROLLARY 2.4. For a fixed prime power q, the sets $X_q(d,t) = \{e \in GF(q) : de = t\}$ form a recombinant family of subsets of GF(q).

COROLLARY 2.5. For a fixed integer q, the sets $X_q(d,t) = \{e \in \mathbb{Z}_q : de \equiv t(q)\}$ form a recombinant family of subsets of \mathbb{Z}_q , where \mathbb{Z}_q are the integers mod q.

3. Irreducible polynomials with given trace. In this section, the irreducible polynomials with a given trace are counted. We begin by introducing some notation that will be used in the remainder of the paper. We use Jungnickel [3] as a reference for terminology and basic results from finite field theory.

The trace of an element $\beta \in GF(q^n)$ over GF(q) is denoted $Tr(\beta)$ and is given by

$$Tr(\beta) = \beta + \beta^q + \beta^{q^2} + \dots + \beta^{q^{n-1}}.$$

If $\beta \in GF(q^n)$ and d is the smallest positive integer for which $\beta^{q^d} = 1$, then f(x) is the minimal polynomial of β , denoted $Min(\beta)$, where

$$f(x) = (x - \beta)(x - \beta^q) \cdots (x - \beta^{q^{d-1}}).$$

The value of d must be a divisor of n.

Let $\mathbf{Irr}_q(n,t)$ denote the set of all monic irreducible polynomials over GF(q) of degree n and trace t. By $a \cdot \mathbf{Irr}_q(n,t)$ we denote the multiset consisting of a copies of $\mathbf{Irr}_q(n,t)$. Classic results of finite field theory imply the following equality of multisets:

$$(3.1) \qquad \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathrm{GF}(q^n)} \{ \mathrm{Min}(\beta) \} \ = \ \bigcup_{d \mid n} d \cdot \mathbf{Irr}_q(d) \ = \ \bigcup_{d \mid n} \frac{n}{d} \cdot \mathbf{Irr}_q\left(\frac{n}{d}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{Irr}_q(d)$ is the set of monic irreducible polynomials of degree d over GF(q). From (3.1) it is easy to derive (1.1) via a standard application of Möbius inversion. Now we restrict the equality (3.1) to trace t field elements to obtain

(3.2)
$$\bigcup_{\beta \in GF(q^n) \atop Tr(\beta) = t} \left\{ Min(\beta) \right\} = \bigcup_{d \mid n} \frac{n}{d} \cdot \left\{ f \in \mathbf{Irr}_q \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) : Tr(f^d) = t \right\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{d \mid n} \frac{n}{d} \cdot \left\{ f \in \mathbf{Irr}_q \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) : d \cdot Tr(f) = t \right\}$$

(3.4)
$$= \bigcup_{\substack{d \mid n \ de=t}} \frac{n}{d} \cdot \left\{ f \in \mathbf{Irr}_q \left(\frac{n}{d} \right) : Tr(f) = e \right\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{d|n} \bigcup_{d=1}^{n} \frac{n}{d} \cdot \left\{ f \in \mathbf{Irr}_q \left(\frac{n}{d}, e \right) \right\}.$$

Note that the equation de=t is asking whether the d-fold sum of $e \in GF(q)$ is equal to $t \in GF(q)$. We use the notation $GF(q^n,t)$ for the set of elements in $GF(q^n)$ with trace t, for $t=0,1,\ldots,q-1$, where $q=p^m$ and p is prime. Consider the map ρ that sends α to $\alpha+\gamma$, where $\gamma \in GF(q^n)$ has trace 1. We claim that $\rho(GF(q^n,t))=GF(q^n,t+1)$, and so the number of elements is the same for each trace value. Thus

$$|GF(q^n, t)| = q^{n-1}.$$

Taking cardinalities in (3.5) gives

$$q^{n-1} = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{de=t} \frac{n}{d} I_q \left(\frac{n}{d}, e \right).$$

From Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4, we obtain

$$I_q(n,t) = \frac{1}{qn} \sum_{d|n} \sum_{de=t} \mu(d) q^{n/d}.$$

The equation de = t where d is an integer and $e, t \in GF(q)$ has a unique solution e if $t \neq 0$ and $p \nmid d$. If t = 0, then there is one solution e = 0 if $p \nmid d$ and there are q solutions if $p \mid d$. Thus, if $t \neq 0$, then

$$I_q(n,t) = \frac{1}{qn} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ p \nmid td}} \mu(d) q^{n/d},$$

thereby proving Theorem 1.1. Otherwise, if t = 0, then

$$I_q(n,0) = I_q(n,1) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \ p \mid d}} \mu(d) q^{n/d}.$$

4. Lyndon words with given trace. If $\mathbf{a} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is a word, then we define its trace mod q, $Tr_q(\mathbf{a})$, to be $\sum a_i \mod q$. Let $L_q(n,t)$ denote the number of q-ary Lyndon words of length n and trace t mod q. Note that any q-ary string of length n can be expressed as the concatenation of d copies of the rotation of some Lyndon word of length n/d for some $d \mid n$. Note further that there are precisely q^{n-1}

words of length n with trace t because any word of length n-1 can have a final nth character appended in only one way to have trace t. It therefore follows that

(4.1)
$$q^{n-1} = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{de\equiv t(q)} \frac{n}{d} L_q\left(\frac{n}{d}, e\right).$$

This can be solved using Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.5 to yield

$$nL_q(n,t) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{de \equiv t(q)} q^{n/d-1}.$$

Hence

(4.2)
$$L_q(n,t) = \frac{1}{qn} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \gcd(q,d) \mid t}} \gcd(q,d)\mu(d)q^{n/d}.$$

Equation (4.2) is true because $de \equiv t(q)$ has a solution if and only if $gcd(d,q) \mid t$. If a solution exists, then it has precisely gcd(d,q) solutions (e.g., [2, Corollary 33.22, p. 821]). This proves Theorem 1.2.

We could also consider the more general question of computing $L_{q,r}(n,t)$, the number of q-ary Lyndon words with trace mod r, and derive similar but more complicated formulae. If $M_q(n,t)$ is the number of q-ary length n strings whose characters sum to t, then clearly $M_q(1,t) = [0 \le t < q]$ and for n > 1

$$M_q(n,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} M_q(n-1,t-i).$$

If $T_{q,r}(n,t)$ denotes the number of q-ary length n strings with trace mod r equal to t, then

$$T_{q,r}(n,t) = \sum_{s \equiv t(r)} M_q(n,s).$$

Using the same approach as before

$$L_{q,r}(n,t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{de \equiv t(r)} T_{q,r} \left(\frac{n}{d}, e\right).$$

The equation for $L_{q,r}(n,t)$ seems to produce no particularly nice formulae, except in the case seen previously where q=r or if q=2. When q=2, $M_2(n,t)=\binom{n}{t}$ and

$$T_{2,r}(n,t) = \sum_{s \equiv t(r)} \binom{n}{s}.$$

However, in this case there is already a well-known formula for the number of Lyndon words with k 1's, namely,

$$P_2(n,k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n,k)} \mu(d) \binom{n/d}{k/d},$$

from which we obtain $L_{2,r}(n,t) = \sum_{s \equiv t(2)} P_2(n,s)$.

5. Final remarks. Our generalized Möbius inversion theorem can be extended to a Möbius inversion theorem on posets. Background material on Möbius inversion on posets may be found in Stanley [5]. We state here the modified definition of recombinant and the inversion theorem but omit the proof.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let \mathcal{P} be a poset, let \mathcal{R} be a set, and let $\{X(y,x,t)\}_{x,y\in\mathcal{P},y\preceq x,t\in\mathcal{R}}$ be a family of subsets of \mathcal{R} . The family $\{X(y,x,t)\}_{x,y\in\mathcal{P},y\preceq x,t\in\mathcal{R}}$ is recombinant if

- (i) $X(x, x, t) = \{t\}$ for all $t \in \mathcal{R}$ and
- (ii) $\{e' \in X(z,y,e) : e \in X(y,x,t)\} = \{e \in X(z,x,t)\} \text{ for all } z \leq y \leq x \in \mathcal{P}, t \in \mathcal{R}.$

We note that if \mathcal{P} is the divisor lattice and \mathcal{R} is an additive monoid, then the collection $\{X(x,y,t)\}_{x,y\in\mathcal{P},x\leq y,t\in\mathcal{R}}$ where $X(x,y,t)=\{e\in\mathcal{R}:(y/x)e=t\}$ is recombinant, as per Lemma 2.3.

THEOREM 5.2. Let \mathcal{P} be a poset, let \mathcal{R} be a set, and let $\{X(y,x,t)\}_{x,y\in\mathcal{P},y\preceq x,t\in\mathcal{R}}$ be a recombinant family. Let $A:\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{C}$, and $B:\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{R}\to\mathcal{C}$, be functions where \mathcal{C} is a commutative ring with identity. Then

$$A(x,t) = \sum_{y \le x} \sum_{e \in X(y,x,t)} B(y,e)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $t \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if

$$B(x,t) = \sum_{y \preceq x} \mu(y,x) \sum_{e \in X(y,x,t)} A(y,e)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $t \in \mathcal{R}$. (Here $\mu(y, x)$ is the Möbius function of the poset \mathcal{P} .)

Tables of the numbers $I_q(n,t)$ and $L_q(n,t)$ for small values of q and n may be found on Frank Ruskey's combinatorial object server (COS) at www.theory.csc.uvic.ca/ \sim cos/inf/{lyndon.html,irreducible.html}. They also appear in Neil Sloane's on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (at http://www.research.att.com/ \sim njas/sequences/) as $I_2(n,0) = L_2(n,0) = \text{A051841}, I_2(n,1) = L_2(n,1) = \text{A000048}, I_3(n,0) = L_3(n,0) = \text{A046209}, I_3(n,1) = L_3(n,1) = \text{A046211}, L_4(n,0) = \text{A054664}, I_4(n,1) = L_4(n,1) = \text{A054660}, L_5(n,0) = \text{A054661}, I_5(n,1) = L_5(n,1) = \text{A054662}, L_6(n,0) = \text{A054665}, L_6(n,1) = \text{A054666}, L_6(n,2) = \text{A054667}, L_6(n,3) = \text{A054700}.$

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank Aaron Gulliver for helpful discussions regarding this paper.

REFERENCES

- L. CARLITZ, A theorem of Dickson on irreducible polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3 (1952), pp. 693-700.
- [2] T.H. CORMEN, C.E. LEISERSON, AND R.L. RIVEST, Introduction to Algorithms, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1990.
- [3] D. Jungnickel, Finite Fields: Structure and arithmetics, B.I. Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, Germany, 1993.
- [4] D.E. KNUTH, R.L. GRAHAM, AND O. PATASHNIK, Concrete Mathematics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1989.
- [5] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. I, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997.